ON THE MATRIX EQUATION $XA - AX = X^P$

DIETRICH BURDE

ABSTRACT. We study the matrix equation $XA - AX = X^p$ in $M_n(K)$ for 1 . It isshown that every matrix solution X is nilpotent and that the generalized eigenspaces of A areX-invariant. For A being a full Jordan block we describe how to compute all matrix solutions. $Combinatorial formulas for <math>A^m X^{\ell}, X^{\ell} A^m$ and $(AX)^{\ell}$ are given. The case p = 2 is a special case of the algebraic Riccati equation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be a positive integer. The matrix equation

$$XA - AX = X^p$$

arises from questions in Lie theory. In particular, the quadratic matrix equation $XA - AX = X^2$ plays a role in the study of affine structures on solvable Lie algebras.

An affine structure on a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} over a field K is a K-bilinear product $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$, $(x, y) \mapsto x \cdot y$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot (y \cdot z) - (x \cdot y) \cdot z &= y \cdot (x \cdot z) - (y \cdot x) \cdot z \\ [x, y] &= x \cdot y - y \cdot x \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$ where [x, y] denotes the Lie bracket of \mathfrak{g} . Affine structures on Lie algebras correspond to left-invariant affine structures on Lie groups. They are important for affine manifolds and for affine crystallographic groups, see [1], [3], [5].

We want to explain how the quadratic matrix equations $XA - AX = X^2$ arise from affine structures. Let \mathfrak{g} be a two-step solvable Lie algebra. This means we have an exact sequence of Lie algebras

$$0 \to \mathfrak{a} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{b} \to 0$$

with the following data: \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} are abelian Lie algebras, $\varphi : \mathfrak{b} \mapsto \text{End}(\mathfrak{a})$ is a Lie algebra representation, $\Omega \in Z^2(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{a})$ is a 2-cocycle, and the Lie bracket of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a} \times \mathfrak{b}$ is given by

$$[(a,x),(b,y)] := (\varphi(x)b - \varphi(y)a + \Omega(x,y), 0).$$

Let $\omega : \mathfrak{b} \times \mathfrak{b} \to \mathfrak{a}$ be a bilinear map and $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : \mathfrak{b} \mapsto \operatorname{End}(\mathfrak{a})$ Lie algebra representations. A natural choice for a left-symmetric product on \mathfrak{g} is the bilinear product given by

$$(a,x)\circ(b,y):=(\varphi_1(y)a+\varphi_2(x)b+\omega(x,y),0).$$

One of the necessary conditions for the product to be left-symmetric is the following:

$$\varphi_1(x)\varphi(y) - \varphi(y)\varphi_1(x) = \varphi_1(y)\varphi_1(x).$$

Date: January 27, 2006.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 15A24.

Key words and phrases. Algebraic Riccati equation, weighted Stirling numbers.

I thank Joachim Mahnkopf for helpful remarks.

Let (e_1, \ldots, e_m) be a basis of \mathfrak{b} and write $X_i := \varphi_1(e_i)$ and $A_j := \varphi(e_j)$ for the linear operators. We obtain the matrix equations

$$X_i A_j - A_j X_i = X_j X_i$$

for all $1 \le i, j \le m$. In particular we have matrix equations of the type $XA - AX = X^2$.

2. General results

Let K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. In general it is quite difficult to determine the matrix solutions of a nonlinear matrix equation. Even the existence of solutions is a serious issue as illustrated by the quadratic matrix equation

$$X^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

which has no solution. On the other hand our equation $XA - AX = X^p$ always has a solution, for any given A, namely X = 0. However, if A has a multiple eigenvalue, then we have a lot of nontrivial solutions and there is no easy way to describe the solution set algebraically. A special set of solutions is obtained by the matrices X satisfying $XA - AX = 0 = X^p$. First one can determine the matrices X commuting with A and then pick out those satisfying $X^p = 0$. Let E denote the $n \times n$ identity. We will assume most of time that $p \ge 2$ since for p = 1 we obtain the linear matrix equation AX + X(E - A) = 0 which is a special case of the Sylvester matrix equation AX + XB = C. Let $S : M_n(K) \to M_n(K)$ with S(X) = AX + XB be the Sylvester operator. It is well known that the linear operator S is singular if and only if A and -B have a common eigenvalue, see [4]. For B = E - A we obtain the following result.

Proposition 2.1. The matrix equation XA - AX = X has a nonzero solution if and only if A and A - E have a common eigenvalue.

The general solution of the matrix equation AX = XB is given in [2]. We have the following results on the solutions of our general equation.

Proposition 2.2. Let $A \in M_n(K)$. Then every matrix solution $X \in M_n(K)$ of $XA - AX = X^p$ is nilpotent and hence satisfies $X^n = 0$.

Proof. We have $X^k(XA - AX) = X^{k+p}$ for all $k \ge 0$. Taking the trace on both sides we obtain $\operatorname{tr}(X^{k+p}) = 0$ for all $k \ge 0$. Let $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r$ be the pairwise distinct eigenvalues of X. For $s \ge 1$ we have

$$\operatorname{tr}(X^s) = \sum_{i=1}^r m_i \lambda_i^s$$

For $s \ge p$ we have $tr(X^s) = 0$ and hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} (m_i \lambda_i^p) \lambda_i^k = 0$$

for all $k \ge 0$. This is a system of linear equations in the unknowns $x_i = m_i \lambda_i^p$ for i = 1, 2, ..., r. The determinant of its coefficients is a Vandermonde determinant. It is nonzero since the λ_i are pairwise distinct. Hence it follows $m_i \lambda_i^p = 0$ for all i = 1, 2, ..., r. This means $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_r = 0$ so that X is nilpotent with $X^n = 0$.

$$XA - AX = X^p \tag{3}$$

Since for p = n our equation reduces to $X^n = 0$ and the linear matrix equation XA = AX, we may assume that p < n.

Proposition 2.3. Let K be an algebraically closed field and p be a positive integer. If $X, A \in M_n(K)$ satisfy $XA - AX = X^p$ then X and A can be simultaneously triangularized.

Proof. Let V be the vector space generated by A and all X^i . Since X is nilpotent we can choose a minimal $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $X^m = 0$. Then $V = \text{span}\{A, X, X^2, \dots, X^{m-1}\}$. We define a Lie bracket on V by taking commutators. Using induction on ℓ we see that for all $\ell \geq 1$

(1)
$$X^{\ell}A - AX^{\ell} = \ell X^{p+\ell-1}$$

Hence the Lie brackets are defined by

$$[A, A] = 0$$

$$[A, X^{i}] = AX^{i} - X^{i}A = -iX^{p+i-1}$$

$$[X^{i}, X^{j}] = 0.$$

It follows that V is a finite-dimensional Lie algebra. The commutator Lie algebra [V, V] is abelian and V/[V, V] is 1-dimensional. Hence V is solvable. By Lie's theorem V is triangularizable. Hence there is a basis such that X and A are simultaneously upper triangular.

Corollary 2.4. Let $X, A \in M_n(K)$ satisfy the matrix equation $XA - AX = X^p$. Then $A^i X^k A^j$ is nilpotent for all $k \ge 1$ and $i, j \ge 0$. So are linear combinations of such matrices.

Proof. We may assume that X and A are simultaneously upper triangular. Since X is nilpotent, X^k is strictly upper triangular. The product of such a matrix with an upper triangular matrix A^i or A^j is again strictly upper triangular. Moreover a linear combination of strictly upper triangular matrices is again strictly upper triangular.

Proposition 2.5. Let $p \ge 2$ and $A \in M_n(K)$. If A has no multiple eigenvalue then X = 0 is the only matrix solution of $XA - AX = X^p$. Conversely if A has a multiple eigenvalue then there exists a nontrivial solution $X \ne 0$.

Proof. Assume first that A has no multiple eigenvalue. Let $\mathcal{B} = (e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ be a basis of K^n such that $A = (a_{ij})$ and $X = (x_{ij})$ are upper triangular relative to \mathcal{B} . In particular $a_{ij} = 0$ for i > j and $x_{ij} = 0$ for $i \ge j$. Since all eigenvalues of A are distinct, A is diagonalizable. We can diagonalize A by a base change of the form $e_i \mapsto \mu_1 e_1 + \mu_2 e_2 + \cdots + \mu_i e_i$ which also keeps X strictly upper triangular. Hence we may assume that A is diagonal and X is strictly upper triangular. Then the coefficients of the matrix $XA - AX = (c_{ij})$ satisfy

$$c_{ij} = x_{ij}(a_{jj} - a_{ii}), \quad x_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } i \ge j.$$

Consider the lowest nonzero line parallel to the main diagonal in X. Since $\alpha_{jj} - \alpha_{ii} \neq 0$ for all $i \neq j$ this line stays also nonzero in XA - AX, but not in X^p because of $p \geq 2$. It follows that X = 0.

Now assume that A has a multiple eigenvalue. There exists a basis of K^n such that A has canonical Jordan block form. Each Jordan block is an matrix of the form

$$J(r,\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \in M_r(K).$$

For $\lambda = 0$ we put J(r) = J(r, 0). It is $J(r, \lambda) = J(r) + \lambda E$. Consider the matrix equation $XJ(r, \lambda) - J(r, \lambda)X = X^p$ in $M_r(K)$. It is equivalent to the equation $XJ(r) - J(r)X = X^p$. If $r \geq 2$ it has a nonzero solution, namely the $r \times r$ matrix

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Indeed, $XJ(r) - J(r)X = 0 = X^p$ in that case. Since A has a multiple eigenvalue, it has a Jordan block of size $r \ge 2$. After permutation we may assume that this is the first Jordan block of A. Let $X \in M_r(K)$ be the above matrix and extend it to an $n \times n$ -matrix by forming a block matrix with X and the zero matrix in $M_{n-r}(K)$. This will be a nontrivial solution of $XA - AX = X^p$ in $M_n(K)$.

Lemma 2.6. Let $A, X \in M_n(K)$ and $A_1 = SAS^{-1}$, $X_1 = SXS^{-1}$ for some $S \in GL_n(K)$. Then $XA - AX = X^p$ if and only if $X_1A_1 - A_1X_1 = X_1^p$.

Proof. The equation $X^p = XA - AX$ is equivalent to

$$X_1^p = (SXS^{-1})^p = SX^pS^{-1}$$

= (SXS^{-1})(SAS^{-1}) - (SAS^{-1})(SXS^{-1})
= X_1A_1 - A_1X_1.

The lemma says that we may choose a basis of K^n such that A has canonical Jordan form. Denote by $C(A) = \{S \in M_n(K) \mid SA = AS\}$ the centralizer of $A \in M_n(K)$. Applying the lemma with $A_1 = SAS^{-1} = A$, where $S \in C(A) \cap GL_n(K)$, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.7. If X_0 is a matrix solution of $XA - AX = X^p$ then so is $X = SX_0S^{-1}$ for any $S \in C(A) \cap GL_n(K)$.

Let $\mathcal{B} = (e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ be a basis of K^n such that A has canonical Jordan form. Then A is a block matrix

$$A = \operatorname{diag}(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k)$$

with $A_i \in M_{r_i}(K)$ and A leaves invariant the corresponding subspaces of K^n . Let X satisfy $XA - AX = X^p$. Does it follow that X is also a block matrix $X = \text{diag}(X_1, \ldots, X_r)$ with $X_i \in M_{r_i}(K)$ relative to the basis \mathcal{B} ? In general this is not the case.

Example 2.8. The matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfy $XA - AX = X^2$.

Here A = diag(J(1), J(2)) leaves invariant the subspaces $\text{span}\{e_1\}$ and $\text{span}\{e_2, e_3\}$ corresponding to the Jordan blocks J(1) and J(2), but X does not. Also the subspace ker A is not X-invariant. This shows that the eigenspaces $E_{\lambda} = \{x \in K^n \mid Ax = \lambda x\}$ of A need not be X-invariant. However, we have the following result concerning the generalized eigenspaces $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda} = \{x \in K^n \mid (A - \lambda E)^k x = 0 \text{ for some } k \geq 0\}$ of A.

4

$$XA - AX = X^p$$

5

Proposition 2.9. Let $A, X \in M_n(K)$ satisfy $XA - AX = X^p$ for $1 . Then the generalized eigenspaces <math>\mathcal{H}_{\lambda}$ of A are X-invariant, i.e., $X\mathcal{H}_{\lambda} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{\lambda}$.

Proof. Let λ be an eigenvalue of A and \mathcal{H}_{λ} be the generalized eigenspace. We may assume that A has canonical Jordan form such that $A = \text{diag}(A_1, A_2)$ with $A_1 = J(r, \lambda)$. We may also assume that $\lambda = 0$. This follows by considering $B = A - \lambda E$ instead of A which satisfies $XB - BX = XA - AX = X^p$. Let $v \in \mathcal{H}_0$. Then there exists an integer $m \ge 0$ such that $A^m v = 0$. Let r be an integer with $r \ge n$. We have $X^r = 0$. By induction on $k \ge 1$ we will show that

$$A^{m+k-1}X^{r-k(p-1)}v = 0$$
 for $1 \le k < \frac{r}{p-1}$.

This implies the desired result as follows: set r = 1 + k(p-1). We can choose $k \ge 1$ such that $r \ge n$. Then $A^{m+k-1}Xv = 0$ and hence $Xv \in \mathcal{H}_0$.

For k = 1 we have to show $A^m X^{r-(p-1)}v = 0$. By (1) we have

$$AX^{r-(p-1)} - X^{r-(p-1)}A = (p-1-r)X^r = 0.$$

Hence A and $X^{r-(p-1)}$ commute. It follows that also A^m and $X^{r-(p-1)}$ commute. Hence $A^m X^{r-(p-1)} v = X^{r-(p-1)} A^m v = 0$.

Assume now that $A^{m+k-2}X^{r-(k-1)(p-1)}v = 0$. Then we have

$$AX^{r-k(p-1)} - X^{r-k(p-1)}A = (k(p-1) - r)X^{r-(k-1)(p-1)}$$

Now we will use the following formula: let $s, \ell \ge 1$ be integers and $A, X \in M_n(K)$ satisfying $XA - AX = X^p$, where $1 . Then there exist integers <math>b_j = b_j(p, \ell, s)$ such that

$$A^{s}X^{\ell} = \sum_{j=0}^{s} b_{j}X^{\ell+j(p-1)}A^{s-j}.$$

This formula can be easily proved by induction. We will compute explicitly the coefficients b_j in the last section, see formula (10). If we use the formula for $\ell = r - k(p-1)$ and s = m+k-2 then we obtain

$$A^{m+k-2}X^{r-k(p-1)} = \sum_{j=0}^{m+k-2} b_j X^{r+(j-k)(p-1)} A^{m+k-2-j}.$$

It follows

$$A^{m+k-1}X^{r-k(p-1)}v = A^{m+k-2}X^{r-k(p-1)}Av$$
$$= \sum_{j=0}^{m+k-2} b_j X^{r+(j-k)(p-1)}A^{m+k-j-1}v$$

Here all terms with $j \ge k$ vanish since $X^r = 0$. On the other hand, $A^{m+k-j-1}v = 0$ for all $j \le k-1$. It follows $A^{m+k-1}X^{r-k(p-1)}v = 0$.

Corollary 2.10. Let $A = \text{diag}(A_1, A_2)$ be a block matrix such that $A_1 \in M_r(K)$ and $A_2 \in M_s(K)$ have no common eigenvalues. Then any matrix solution $X \in M_n(K)$ of $XA - AX = X^p$ for $1 is a block matrix <math>X = \text{diag}(X_1, X_2)$ with $X_1 \in M_r(K)$ and $X_2 \in M_s(K)$ such that $X_1A_1 - A_1X_1 = X_1^p$ and $X_2A_2 - A_2X_2 = X_2^p$.

This says that looking at the solutions of $XA - AX = X^p$ we may restrict to the case that A has exactly one eigenvalue $\lambda \in K$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\lambda = 0$. We can say more on the solution set if A has some particular properties. The most convenient

special case is that A = J(n) is a full Jordan block. Then we can determine all matrix solutions of $XJ(n) - J(n)X = X^p$. This is done in the following section.

3. The case
$$A = J(n)$$

We have already seen that ker A in general is not X-invariant. However, it is true if ker A is 1-dimensional. But this is the case for A = J(n).

Lemma 3.1. Let $A, X \in M_n(K)$ satisfy $XA - AX = X^p$ for $1 and assume that <math>\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of A with 1-dimensional eigenspace E_{λ} generated by $v \in K^n$. Then Xv = 0.

Proof. We will show that $X^{\ell}v = 0$ implies $X^{\ell-(p-1)}v = 0$ for all $\ell \ge p$. By (1) we have

(2)
$$AX^{\ell-(p-1)} - X^{\ell-(p-1)}A = (p-1-\ell)X^{\ell}.$$

Using $Av = \lambda v$ and $X^{\ell}v = 0$ we obtain $(A - \lambda E)X^{\ell - (p-1)}v = 0$ so that $X^{\ell - (p-1)}v \in E_{\lambda} =$ span $\{v\}$. Hence $X^{\ell - (p-1)}v = \mu v$ for some $\mu \in K$. But since X is nilpotent we have $\mu = 0$.

Now we repeat this argument starting with $X^n v = 0$. If we arrive at $X^k v = 0$ and $k \leq p$ then $X^p v = 0$ and in the next step Xv = 0.

Proposition 3.2. Let A = J(n) and $X \in M_n(K)$ be a matrix solution of $XA - AX = X^p$ for 1 . Then X is strictly upper triangular.

Proof. Let (e_1, \ldots, e_n) be the canonical basis of K^n . Then ker $A = \text{span}\{e_1\}$ and $Xe_1 = 0$ by the above lemma. Now we can use induction by writing

$$X = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \ast \\ \hline 0 & X_1 \end{array}\right)$$

with $X_1 \in M_{n-1}(K)$. It holds $X_1J(n-1) - J(n-1)X_1 = X_1^p$ so that X_1 is upper triangular by induction hypothesis. Hence X is also upper triangular.

Proposition 3.3. Let p be an integer with 1 and let <math>A = J(n), $X = (x_{i,j}) \in M_n(K)$. Then X is a matrix solution of $XA - AX = X^p$ if and only if

(3)
$$x_{i,j} = 0 \quad for \ all \quad 1 \le j \le i \le n$$

(4)
$$x_{i,j-1} - x_{i+1,j} = \sum_{\ell_1=i+1}^{j-p+1} \sum_{\ell_2=\ell_1+1}^{j-p+2} \cdots \sum_{\ell_{p-1}=\ell_{p-2}+1}^{j-1} x_{i,\ell_1} x_{\ell_1,\ell_2} \cdots x_{\ell_{p-2},\ell_{p-1}} x_{\ell_{p-1},j}$$

for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

Proof. By proposition 3.2 we know that X is upper triangular. Hence (3) holds. The equations (4) follow by matrix multiplication. The (i, j)-th coefficient of XA - AX is just the LHS of (4) whereas the (i, j)-th coefficient of X^p is given by the RHS of (4). This may be seen by induction.

Remark 3.4. We can solve the polynomial equations given by (4) recursively. For $p \ge 3$ every $x_{i+1,j}$ can be expressed as a polynomial in the free variables $x_{1,2}, \ldots, x_{1,n}$ since the RHS of (4) does not contain $x_{i+1,j}$. For p = 2 however it does contain $x_{i+1,j}$ for $\ell = i + 1$. In that case we rewrite the equations as follows.

$$XA - AX = X^p \tag{7}$$

$$x_{i+1,j}(1+x_{i,i+1}) - x_{i,j-1} + \sum_{\ell_1=i+2}^{j-1} x_{i,\ell} x_{\ell,j} = 0$$

For j = i + 2 we obtain $x_{i+1,i+2}(1 + x_{i,i+1}) = x_{i,i+1}$. This shows that $1 + x_{i,i+1}$ is always nonzero. It follows that every $x_{i+1,j}$ is a polynomial in $x_{1,2}, \ldots, x_{1,n}$ divided by a product of factors $1 + kx_{1,2}$ also being nonzero. The formulas can be determined recursively. The first two are as follows:

$$x_{i+1,i+2} = \frac{x_{1,2}}{1+ix_{1,2}}$$
$$x_{i+1,i+3} = \frac{x_{1,3}(1+x_{1,2})}{(1+ix_{1,2})(1+(i+1)x_{1,2})}$$

Example 3.5. Let n = 5 and A = J(5). Then all matrix solutions $X = (x_{ij}) \in M_5(K)$ of $XA - AX = X^2$ are given by

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_{12} & x_{13} & x_{14} & x_{15} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{x_{12}}{1+x_{12}} & \frac{x_{13}}{1+2x_{12}} & \frac{(1+2x_{12})^2x_{14}-(1+x_{12})x_{13}^2}{(1+x_{12})(1+2x_{12})(1+3x_{12})} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{x_{12}}{1+2x_{12}} & \frac{(1+x_{12})x_{13}}{(1+2x_{12})(1+3x_{12})} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{x_{12}}{1+3x_{12}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Corollary 3.6. Let A = J(n). A special matrix solution of $XA - AX = X^2$ is given as follows:

$$X_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha & 0 & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} & 0 & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\alpha}{1+2\alpha} & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{\alpha}{1+(n-2)\alpha} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

In some cases all matrix solutions of $XJ(n) - J(n)X = X^2$ are conjugated to X_0 .

Proposition 3.7. Let A = J(n) and $X = (x_{ij}) \in M_n(K)$ be a matrix solution of $XA - AX = X^2$ with $x_{12} = \alpha \neq 0$. Then there exists an $S \in GL_n(K) \cap C(A)$ such that $X = SX_0S^{-1}$.

Proof. We will prove the result by induction on n. The case n = 2 is obvious. For A = J(n) we have $C(A) = \{c_1A^0 + c_2A^1 + \cdots + c_nA^{n-1} \mid c_i \in K\}$. The matrix solution X is strictly upper triangular by proposition 3.2. We have

$$X = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} X' & \ast \\ \hline 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

with $X' \in M_{n-1}(K)$. It is easy to see that $XA - AX = X^2$ implies $X'A' - A'X' = X'^2$ with A' = J(n-1). Hence by assumption there exists an $S' \in GL_{n-1}(K) \cap C(A')$ such that

$$S'X'S'^{-1} = X'_0$$

where X'_0 is the special solution in dimension n-1. We can extend S' to a matrix $S_1 \in GL_n(K) \cap C(A)$ as follows:

$$S_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} s_{n} \\ S' & \vdots \\ s_{2} \\ 0 \cdots 0 & s_{1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} s_{1} & s_{2} & \cdots & \cdots & s_{n} \\ 0 & s_{1} & s_{2} & \cdots & \cdots & s_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & s_{1} & s_{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & s_{1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

One verifies that

$$S_1 X S_1^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} & & * \\ S' & \vdots \\ & & * \\ \hline 0 \cdots 0 & s_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} & & * \\ X' & \vdots \\ & & * \\ \hline 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} S'^{-1} & \vdots \\ & & * \\ \hline 0 \cdots 0 & s_1^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} & & r_1 \\ X'_0 & \vdots \\ & & r_{n-1} \\ \hline 0 \cdots 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The last matrix is not yet equal to X_0 . It is however a solution of $XA - AX = X^2$ by corollary 2.7. A short computation shows that this is true if and only if

$$0 = r_i (1 + (i - 1)\alpha) \text{ for } i = 2, 3, \dots, n - 2$$
$$r_{n-1} = \frac{\alpha}{1 + (n - 2)\alpha}$$

Hence we have $r_2 = \cdots = r_{n-2} = 0$. It remains to achieve $r_1 = 0$. This is done by conjugating with

$$S_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & r & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & r \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $r = \frac{r_1(1+(n-2)\alpha)}{4\alpha^2}$. Note that we have by assumption $\alpha \neq 0$. Let $S := S_2S_1 \in GL_n(K) \cap C(A)$. We obtain $SXS^{-1} = X_0$.

The solutions X with $x_{12} = 0$ need not be conjugated to X_0 .

Example 3.8. Let A = J(8) and $X = \alpha A^4 + \beta A^5 + \gamma A^6 + \delta A^7$. Then $XA - AX = 0 = X^2$ and $SXS^{-1} = X$ for all $S \in GL_n(K) \cap C(A)$. In particular X is not conjugated to X_0 .

In general we may assume that A is a Jordan block matrix with Jordan blocks $J(r_1), \ldots, J(r_k)$. If we have found solutions X_1, \ldots, X_k to the equations $X_i J(r_i) - J(r_i) X_i = X_i^p$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ then $X = \text{diag}(X_1, \ldots, X_k)$ is a solution of $XA - AX = X^p$. However these are not the only

$$XA - AX = X^p 9$$

solutions in general. How can one determine the other solutions? One way would be to classify the matrix solutions of $XA - AX = X^p$ up to conjugation with the centralizer of A. First examples show that this classification will be complicated. The following examples illustrate this for A = diag(J(2), J(2)) and p = 2, 3.

Example 3.9. The matrix solutions of $XA - AX = X^2$ with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

are given, up to conjugation with $S \in GL_4(K) \cap C(A)$, by the following matrices:

$$X_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_{3,\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 - \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$X_{4,\alpha,\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_{5,\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The solutions $X_{4,\alpha,\beta} = \text{diag}(Y_1, Y_2)$ arise from the solutions of the equations $J(2)Y_i - Y_iJ(2) = X^2$. The solutions satisfying $X^2 = 0 = XA - AX$ are given by $X_{3,1}, X_{4,\alpha,\beta}$ and $X_{5,\alpha}$. The result is verified by an explicit computation. The centralizer of A consists of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_1 & s_2 & s_5 & s_6 \\ 0 & s_1 & 0 & s_5 \\ s_3 & s_4 & s_7 & s_8 \\ 0 & s_3 & 0 & s_7 \end{pmatrix}$$

whose determinant is given by $(s_1s_7 - s_3s_5)^2$.

Example 3.10. The matrix solutions of $XA - AX = X^3$ with A = diag(J(2), J(2)) are given, up to conjugation with $S \in GL_4(K) \cap C(A)$, by the following matrices:

$$\begin{split} X_{1,\alpha,\beta} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta \\ 0 & \frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha\beta} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_{2,\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ X_{3,\alpha,\beta} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_{4,\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

The only solutions satisfying $X^3 \neq 0$ are $X_{1,\alpha,\beta}$ where $\alpha \neq \beta$.

4. The case p = 2

For p = 2 our matrix equation is given by $X^2 = XA - AX$. This equation is a special case of the well known algebraic Riccati equation. There is a large literature on this equation, see [4] and the references therein. In particular, there is a well known result on the parametrization of solutions of the Riccati equation using Jordan chains. The consequence is that matrix solutions can be constructed by determining Jordan chains of certain matrices. This does not mean, however, that we are able to solve the algebraic Riccati equation explicitly. The problem is only reformulated in terms of Jordan chains. Nevertheless this is an interesting approach. We will apply this result to our special case and demonstrate it by an example. The algebraic Riccati equation is the following quadratic matrix equation [4]

$$XBX + XA - DX - C = 0$$

where A, B, C, D have sizes $n \times n, n \times m, m \times n$ and $m \times m$ respectively. Here $m \times n$ matrix solutions X are to be found. The special case m = n and B = -E, D = A, C = 0 yields $XA - AX - X^2 = 0$.

Definition 4.1. A Jordan chain of an $n \times n$ matrix T is an ordered set of vectors $x_1, \ldots, x_r \in K^n$ such that $x_1 \neq 0$ and for some eigenvalue λ of T the equalities

$$(T - \lambda E)x_1 = 0$$

$$(T - \lambda E)x_2 = x_1$$

$$\vdots = \vdots$$

$$(T - \lambda E)x_r = x_{r-1}$$

hold.

The vectors x_2, \ldots, x_r are called generalized eigenvectors of T associated with the eigenvalue λ and the eigenvector x_1 . The number r is called the length of the Jordan chain.

We call the n-dimensional subspace

$$G(X) = \operatorname{im} \begin{bmatrix} E \\ X \end{bmatrix} \subseteq K^{2n}$$

the graph of X. Denote by $T \in M_{2n}(K)$ the matrix

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} A & -E \\ 0 & A \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then we have the following simple result [4]:

Proposition 4.2. For any $n \times n$ matrix X, the graph of X is T-invariant if and only if X is a solution of $XA - AX = X^2$.

Representing the T-invariant subspace G(X) as the linear span of Jordan chains of T, we obtain the following result [4].

Proposition 4.3. The matrix $X \in M_n(K)$ is a solution of $XA - AX = X^2$ if and only if there is a set of vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in K^{2n}$ consisting of sets of Jordan chains for T such that

$$v_i = \begin{bmatrix} y_i \\ z_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$XA - AX = X^p$$

11

where $y_i, z_i \in K^n$ and (y_1, \ldots, y_n) forms a basis of K^n . Furthermore, if

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 & y_2 & \cdots & y_n \end{bmatrix} \in M_n(K), \quad Z = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & z_2 & \cdots & z_n \end{bmatrix} \in M_n(K),$$

every matrix solution of $XA - AX = X^2$ has the form $X = ZY^{-1}$ for some set of Jordan chains v_1, \ldots, v_n for T, such that Y is nonsingular.

It follows that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of solutions of $XA - AX = X^2$ and a certain subset of *n*-dimensional *T*-invariant subspaces.

Example 4.4. Let $A = \text{diag}(J(2), J(2)) \in M_4(K)$ and

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} A & -E \\ 0 & A \end{bmatrix} \in M_8(K)$$

Then a set of Jordan chains for T is given by

$$v_1 = (2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)^t$$

$$v_2 = (0, 1, 0, 0, -1, 0, 0, 0)^t$$

$$v_3 = (0, 0, -1, 0, 0, -1, 0, 0)^t$$

$$v_4 = (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0)^t$$

We have $Tv_1 = 0$, $Tv_2 = v_1$, $Tv_3 = v_2$ and $Tv_4 = v_3$. Then

$$X = ZY^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a matrix solution of $XA - AX = X^2$, see example 3.9.

Note that Jordan chains of length three for T are given by vectors of the form

$$v_1 = (2a_1, 0, 2a_2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)^t$$

$$v_2 = (a_3, a_1, a_4, a_2, -a_1, 0, -a_2, 0)^t$$

$$v_3 = (a_5, a_6, a_7, a_8, a_6 - a_3, -a_1, a_8 - a_4, -a_2)^t$$

5. Combinatorial formulas

The matrix equation $XA - AX = X^p$ may be interpreted as a commutator rule $[X, A] = X^p$. Successive commuting yields very interesting formulas for $X^{\ell}A^m$ and A^mX^{ℓ} , where $\ell, m \ge 1$. For m = 1 the formulas are easy: we have $X^{\ell}A = AX^{\ell} + \ell X^{\ell+p-1}$ and $AX^{\ell} = X^{\ell}A - \ell X^{\ell+p-1}$. For $m \ge 2$ these formulas become more complicated. Finally we will prove a formula for $(AX)^{\ell}$. Although it is not needed for the study of solutions of our matrix equation, we would like to include this formula here. In fact, the commutator formulas presented here are important for many topics in combinatorics. We are able to prove explicit formulas involving weighted Stirling numbers.

Proposition 5.1. Let $p \ge 1$ and let $X, A \in M_n(K)$ satisfy the matrix equation $XA - AX = X^p$. Then for $\ell, m \ge 1$ we have

(5)
$$X^{\ell}A^{m} = \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_{\ell}(k) \binom{m}{k} A^{m-k} X^{\ell+k(p-1)}$$

where $a_{\ell}(0) = 1$ and $a_{\ell}(k) = a_{\ell,p}(k) = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} [\ell + j(p-1)]$. In particular we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} (6) & X^{\ell}A = AX^{\ell} + \ell X^{\ell+p-1} \\ (7) & X^{\ell}A^{2} = A^{2}X^{\ell} + 2\ell AX^{\ell+p-1} + \ell(\ell+p-1)X^{\ell+2(p-1)} \\ (8) & X^{\ell}A^{3} = A^{3}X^{\ell} + 3\ell A^{2}X^{\ell+p-1} + 3\ell(\ell+p-1)AX^{\ell+2(p-1)} + \ell(\ell+p-1)(\ell+2(p-1)) \\ & X^{\ell+3(p-1)}. \end{array}$$

For p = 2 the formula simplifies to

(9)
$$X^{\ell}A^{m} = \sum_{k=0}^{m} k! \binom{m}{k} \binom{\ell+k-1}{\ell-1} A^{m-k} X^{\ell+k}.$$

Proof. For the case m = 1 see (1). Now (5) follows by induction over m. Note that $a_{\ell}(k+1) = a_{\ell}(k)(\ell + k(p-1))$.

$$\begin{split} X^{\ell}A^{m+1} &= \left(X^{\ell}A^{m}\right)A = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{m}{k} a_{\ell}(k)A^{m-k} \left(X^{\ell+k(p-1)}A\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{m}{k} a_{\ell}(k)A^{m-k} \left(AX^{\ell+k(p-1)} + (\ell+k(p-1))X^{\ell+(k+1)(p-1)}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{m}{k} a_{\ell}(k)A^{m+1-k}X^{\ell+k(p-1)} + \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{m}{k} a_{\ell}(k)(\ell+k(p-1))A^{m-k}X^{\ell+(k+1)(p-1)} \\ &= A^{m+1}X^{\ell} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \binom{m}{k} a_{\ell}(k)A^{m+1-k}X^{\ell+k(p-1)} \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{m} \binom{m}{k-1} \left[(\ell+(k-1)(p-1))a_{\ell}(k-1) \right] A^{m+1-k}X^{\ell+k(p-1)} \\ &+ (\ell+m(p-1))a_{\ell}(m)X^{\ell+(m+1)(p-1)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m+1} a_{\ell}(k)\binom{m+1}{k} A^{m+1-k}X^{\ell+k(p-1)} \end{split}$$

For p = 2 we have $a_{\ell}(k) = \ell(\ell+1) \cdots (\ell+k-1) = k! \binom{\ell+k-1}{k-1}$.

In the same way one can prove the following result by induction:

Proposition 5.2. Let $p \ge 1$ and let $X, A \in M_n(K)$ satisfy the matrix equation $XA - AX = X^p$. Then for $\ell, m \ge 1$ we have

$$XA - AX = X^p \tag{13}$$

(10)
$$A^m X^\ell = \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k a_\ell(k) \binom{m}{k} X^{\ell+k(p-1)} A^{m-k}.$$

Proposition 5.3. Let $p \ge 2$ and let $X, A \in M_n(K)$ satisfy the matrix equation $XA - AX = X^p$. Then we have for all $\ell \ge 1$

(11)
$$(AX)^{\ell} = \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} c(\ell,k) A^{\ell-k} X^{\ell+k(p-1)}$$

where the numbers $c(\ell, k) = c(\ell, k, p)$ are defined by the following recurrence relation for $1 \le k \le \ell$.

$$(12) c(\ell, 0) = 1$$

(13)
$$c(\ell,\ell) = 0$$

(14)
$$c(\ell+1,k) = c(\ell,k) + [\ell+(p-1)(k-1)]c(\ell,k-1)$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on ℓ . Using (1) we obtain

$$\begin{split} (AX)^{\ell+1} &= (AX)^{\ell} AX = \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} c(\ell,k) A^{\ell-k} \left(X^{\ell+k(p-1)} A \right) X \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} c(\ell,k) A^{\ell-k} \left[AX^{\ell+k(p-1)} + (\ell+k(p-1)) X^{\ell+(k+1)(p-1)} \right] X \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} c(\ell,k) A^{\ell+1-k} X^{\ell+1+k(p-1)} + \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} c(\ell,k) (\ell+k(p-1)) A^{\ell-k} X^{\ell+1+(k+1)(p-1)}. \end{split}$$

Using (14) it follows

$$\begin{split} (AX)^{\ell+1} &= A^{\ell+1} X^{\ell+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell-1} c(\ell,k) A^{\ell+1-k} X^{\ell+1+k(p-1)} \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} c(\ell,k-1)(\ell + (k-1)(p-1)) A^{\ell+1-k} X^{\ell+1+k(p-1)} \\ &= A^{\ell+1} X^{\ell+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell-1} c(\ell+1,k) A^{\ell+1-k} X^{\ell+1+k(p-1)} \\ &+ c(\ell,\ell-1)(\ell + (\ell-1)(p-1)) A X^{\ell+1+\ell(p-1)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} c(\ell+1,k) A^{\ell+1-k} X^{\ell+1+k(p-1)}. \end{split}$$

The integers $c(\ell, k, p)$ are uniquely determined. The following table shows the values for $\ell = 1, 2, \ldots, 6$ and $k = 0, \ldots, \ell - 1$

$\ell \setminus k$	0	1	2	3	4	5
1	1					
2	1	1				
3	1	3	p+1			
4	1	6	4p + 7	(p+1)(2p+1)		
5	1	10	5(2p+5)	5(p+1)(2p+3)	(p+1)(2p+1)(3p+1)	
6	1	15	5(4p+13)	15(p+2)(2p+3)	$(p+1)(36p^2+70p+31)$	(p+1)(2p+1)(3p+1)(4p+1)

It is possible to find an explicit formula for the $c(\ell, k, p)$.

Proposition 5.4. For $\ell \geq 1$ and $0 \leq k \leq \ell - 1$ we have

(15)
$$c(\ell,k,p) = (p-1)^{k-\ell+1} \sum_{r=1}^{\ell-k} \frac{(-1)^{r-1}}{(r-1)! (\ell-k-r)!} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell-1} [pj+(1-p)r]$$

For p = 2 the formula reduces to

(16)
$$c(\ell, k, 2) = \binom{\ell + k - 1}{2k} \prod_{j=1}^{k} (2j - 1)$$

Proof. Let $S(n,k) = S(n,k,\lambda|\theta)$ denote the weighted degenerated Stirling numbers for $n, k \ge 1$, see [6]. They are given by

$$(17) S(n,n) = 1$$

(18)
$$S(n,0) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (\lambda - j\theta)$$

(19)
$$S(n+1,k) = (k+\lambda - \theta n)S(n,k) + S(n,k-1).$$

They satisfy the following explicit formula (see (4.2) of [6]):

(20)
$$S(n,k,\lambda|\theta) = \sum_{r=0}^{k} \frac{(-1)^{k+r}}{r! (k-r)!} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (\lambda + r - j\theta).$$

We can rewrite the recurrence relation (14) for the numbers $c(\ell, k)$ as follows. If we substitute k by $\ell - k + 1$ then we obtain

$$c(\ell+1,\ell-k+1) = c(\ell,\ell-k+1) + [\ell+(p-1)(\ell-k)]c(\ell,\ell-k)$$

Here $\ell - k + 1$ runs through $1, 2, \dots \ell$ if k does. Now set $c(\ell, \ell - k) = s(\ell, k)(1-p)^{\ell-k}$. Then the above recurrence relation implies that

$$XA - AX = X^p \tag{15}$$

$$s(\ell, \ell) = c(\ell, 0) = 1$$

$$s(\ell, 0) = c(\ell, \ell)(1-p)^{-\ell} = 0 = \prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} pj$$

$$s(\ell+1, k) = s(\ell, k-1) + \left[k + \left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)\right] s(\ell, k).$$

Comparing this with (17),(18),(19) we see that $s(\ell,k) = S(\ell,k,\lambda|\theta)$ for $\lambda = 0$ and $\theta = \frac{p}{p-1}$.

So they are indeed degenerated Stirling numbers. Applying the formula (20) to $c(\ell, k) = s(\ell, \ell - k)(1-p)^k$ we obtain

$$c(\ell,k,p) = (p-1)^k \sum_{r=1}^{\ell-k} \frac{(-1)^{r-1}}{(r-1)! (\ell-k-r)!} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell-1} \left[\frac{pj}{p-1} - r \right].$$

This shows (15). For p = 2 one can obtain a much easier formula. It is however easier to derive this formula not from (15) but rather by direct verification of the recurrence relation.

Remark 5.5. We have the following special cases:

$$c(\ell, 1, p) = \frac{\ell(\ell - 1)}{2}$$
$$c(\ell, 2, p) = \frac{\ell(\ell - 1)(\ell - 2)(3\ell + 4p - 5)}{24}$$
$$c(\ell, \ell - 1, p) = (1 + p)(1 + 2p) \cdots (1 + (\ell - 2)p).$$

References

- [1] D. Burde: Affine structures on nilmanifolds. Int. J. of Math. 7 (1996), 599-616.
- [2] F. R. Gantmacher: The theory of matrices. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI (1998).
- [3] F. Grunewald, D. Segal: On affine crystallographic groups. J. Differential Geom. 40 No.3 (1994), 563-594.
- [4] P. Lancaster, L. Rodman: Algebraic Riccati equations. Clarendon Press, Oxford (1995).
- [5] J. Milnor: On fundamental groups of complete affinely flat manifolds. Advances in Math. 25 (1977), 178– 187.
- [6] F. T. Howard: Degenerated weighted Stirling numbers. Discrete Mathematics 57, (1985), 45–58.

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT WIEN, NORDBERGSTR. 15, 1090 WIEN, AUSTRIA *E-mail address*: dietrich.burde@univie.ac.at