Affine structures on nilmanifolds

Dietrich BURDE

Yale University, 10 Hillhouse Avenue, NEW HAVEN CT 06520 - 8283University of Düsseldorf, Universitätstraße 1, 40225 DÜSSELDORF

We investigate the existence of affine structures on nilmanifolds $\Gamma \backslash G$ in the case where the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of the Lie group G is filiform nilpotent of dimension less or equal to 11. Here we obtain examples of nilmanifolds without *any* affine structure in dimensions 10, 11. These are new counterexamples to the Milnor conjecture. So far examples in dimension 11 were known where the proof is complicated, see [BGR] and [BEN]. Using certain 2 -cocycles we realize the filiform Lie algebras as deformation algebras from a standard graded filiform algebra. Thus we study the affine algebraic variety of complex filiform nilpotent Lie algebra structures of a given dimension ≤ 11 . This approach simplifies the calculations, and the counterexamples in dimension 10 are less complicated than the known ones. We also obtain results for the minimal dimension $\mu(\mathfrak{g})$ of a faithful \mathfrak{g} -module for these filiform Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} .

1 Introduction

Let M denote an n-dimensional manifold (connected and without boundary). An affine atlas Φ on M is a covering of M by coordinate charts such that each coordinate change between overlapping charts in Φ is *locally affine*, i.e., extends to an affine automorphism $x \mapsto Ax + b$, $A \in \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, of some n-dimensional real vector space E. A maximal affine atlas is an affine structure on M, and M together with an affine structure is called an affine manifold. An affine structure determines a differentiable structure and affine manifolds are flat – there is a natural correspondence between affine structures on M and flat torsionfree affine connections ∇ on M. Such an affine connection is a connection in the tangent bundle with zero torsion and zero curvature.

Subclasses of affine manifolds are *Riemannian-flat* and *Lorentz-flat* manifolds. A fundamental problem is the question of existence of affine structures. A closed surface admits affine structures if and only if its Euler characteristic vanishes; in fact, a closed surface with genus $g \ge 2$ does not possess *any* affine connection with curvature zero ([MI1]). The torus admits affine structures, even non-Riemannian ones: Let Γ be the set of transformations $(x, y) \mapsto (x + ny + m, y + n)$ of $E = \mathbb{R}^2$ where $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote by Aff(E)the group of affine automorphisms,

$$\mathbf{Aff}(E) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in \mathbf{GL}(E), \ b \in E \right\}$$

Then Γ is a discrete subgroup of $\operatorname{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ acting properly discontinuously on \mathbb{R}^2 . The quotient space $\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{R}^2$ is diffeomorphic to a torus and is a complete compact affine manifold. The flat torsionfree connection on \mathbb{R}^2 induces a flat torsionfree affine connection on $\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{R}^2$. It can be shown that the affine structure is not Riemannian.

In higher dimensions ($n \ge 3$) the existence question is open. There are only certain obstructions known ([SMI]): If M is compact and its fundamental group is build up out of finite groups by taking free products, direct products and finite extensions, then M does not admit affine structures.

Many examples of affine manifolds come from *left-invariant affine structures on Lie groups*: If G is a Lie group, an affine structure on G is *left-invariant*, if for each $g \in G$ the left-multiplication by g, $L_g: G \to G$, is an automorphism of the affine structure. (The affine connection then is left-invariant under left-translations). For G simply connected let $D: G \to E$ be the developing map. Then there is for each $g \in G$ a unique affine automorphism $\alpha(g)$ of E, such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} G & \stackrel{D}{\longrightarrow} & E \\ L_g & & & & \downarrow \\ G & \stackrel{D}{\longrightarrow} & E \end{array}$$

commutes. In that case $\alpha: G \to \mathbf{Aff}(E)$ is an affine representation.

It is not difficult to see ([FGH]) that G admits a complete left-invariant structure if and only if G acts simply transitively on E as affine transformations. In this case G must be solvable ([AUS]). If G has a left-invariant affine structure and Γ is a discrete subgroup of G, then the homogeneous space $\Gamma \setminus G$ of right cosets inherits an affine structure. If G is nilpotent, then $\Gamma \setminus G$ is called an *affine nilmanifold*. Any compact complete affine manifold with nilpotent fundamental group is already an affine nilmanifold ([FGH]).

Left-invariant affine structures play an important role in the study of affine crystallographic groups (in short ACGs), and of fundamental groups of affine manifolds, see [MI2]. A group $\Gamma \leq \mathbf{Aff}(E)$ is called ACG if it acts properly discontinuously on E with compact quotient. There is the following well-known conjecture (for details see [AMS]):

Auslander conjecture: An ACG is virtually polycyclic.

This may be restated as follows: The fundamental group of a compact complete affine manifold is virtually polycyclic. Milnor proved that a finitely generated torsionfree virtually polycyclic group Γ can be realized as a subgroup of $\mathbf{Aff}(E)$ acting properly discontinuously. Hence it is the fundamental group of a complete affine manifold.

If we assume that $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{Aff}(E)$ is a virtually polycyclic ACG acting on E, then there is a Lie group $G \subset \operatorname{Aff}(E)$ virtually containing Γ , acting simply transitively on E. The latter is equivalent to the fact that G admits a complete left-invariant affine structure. Indeed, Auslander's conjecture is equivalent to the following:

A compact complete affine manifold is finitely covered by quotients of solvable Lie groups with complete left-invariant affine structures. Milnor asked in this context ([MI2]):

(1) Which Lie groups admit left-invariant affine structures ?

This question is particularly difficult for nilpotent Lie groups. There was much evidence that every nilpotent Lie group admits left-invariant affine structures, see Proposition 1. Milnor conjectured this to be true even for solvable Lie groups ([MI1]). Recently, however, counterexamples were discovered ([BGR] and [BEN]). There are nilmanifolds which are not affine. The key step here is to find n-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras having no faithful representations in dimension n + 1, hence no affine representation which could arise from a left-invariant affine structure on the Lie group G. In order to determine such Lie algebras we study the affine algebraic variety of all filiform Lie algebra structures in dimension ≤ 11 over \mathbb{C} . Every filiform nilpotent Lie algebra of dimension n > 7is isomorphic to an *infinitesimal deformation* of the standard graded filiform \mathfrak{g} by a 2 cocycle from a certain subspace of $H^2(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g})$, see [VER]. This description turns out to be useful for our question, i.e., to determine the minimal dimension $\mu(\mathfrak{g})$ of faithful \mathfrak{g} modules for such Lie algebras. We are led to new counterexamples in dimension 10 and 11. If \mathfrak{g} is a nilpotent filiform algebra of smaller dimension ($n \leq 9$) we have $\mu(\mathfrak{g}) = n$. Hence these algebras do not provide counterexamples with respect to this method.

2 Preliminaries

Let G be a finite-dimensional connected Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . We may assume that G is simply connected (otherwise consider \widetilde{G}).

Definition 1 An affine representation $\alpha : G \to \text{Aff}(E)$ is called *étale*, if there exists a $v \in E$ whose stabilizer G_v is discrete in G, and whose G-orbit $G \cdot v$ is open in E.

Definition 2 A left-symmetric algebra structure (or LSA-structure in short) on \mathfrak{g} over a field k is a k-bilinear product $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$, $(x, y) \mapsto x \cdot y$ satisfying the conditions $x \cdot y - y \cdot x = [x, y]$ and (x, y, z) = (y, x, z) for all x, y, z, where $(x, y, z) = x \cdot (y \cdot z) - (x \cdot y) \cdot z$ denotes the associator of $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Lemma 1 There is a canonical one-to-one correspondence between the following classes of objects (up to suitable equivalence):

- (a) $\{Etale affine representations of G\}$
- (b) $\{Left\text{-invariant affine structures on } G\}$
- (c) $\{Flat \ torsion free \ left-invariant \ affine \ connections \ \nabla \ on \ G\}$
- (d) $\{LSA\text{-structures on }\mathfrak{g}\}$

Under the bijection (b), (d), bi-invariant affine structures correspond to associative LSA-structures.

Proof: This is well known, see [SEG] or [KIM]. Here is a brief reminder of some of the arguments:

Suppose G admits a left-invariant flat torsionfree affine connection ∇ on G. Since the connection is left-invariant, for any two left-invariant vector fields $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, the covariant derivative $\nabla_X Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ is left-invariant. It follows that covariant differentiation $(X, Y) \mapsto \nabla_X Y$ defines a bilinear multiplication $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$, denoted by $(X, Y) \mapsto XY$ in short. Since ∇ is locally flat and torsionfree, we have the following:

$$(i) \qquad [X,Y] \qquad = \quad XY - YX$$

$$(ii) \qquad [X,Y]Z = X(YZ) - Y(XZ)$$

We can rewrite (*ii*) by using (*i*) as (X, Y, Z) = (Y, X, Z). Thus (\mathfrak{g}, \cdot) is a left-symmetric algebra (or LSA) with product $x \cdot y = \nabla_X Y$.

If we have any LSA-structure on \mathfrak{g} with product $(x, y) \mapsto x \cdot y$, then denote by $\lambda : x \mapsto \lambda(x)$ the left-regular representation on the LSA $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot) : \lambda(x)y = x \cdot y$. It is a Lie algebra representation:

$$\lambda: \mathfrak{g} \to \operatorname{End}(\mathfrak{g}), \qquad \qquad [\lambda(x), \lambda(y)] = \lambda([x, y])$$

Denote the corresponding \mathfrak{g} -module by \mathfrak{g}_{λ} . Furthermore, the identity map $1 : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}_{\lambda}$ is a 1-cocycle in $Z^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_{\lambda}) : \mathbf{1}([x, y]) = \mathbf{1}(x) \cdot y - \mathbf{1}(y) \cdot x$. Let $\mathfrak{aff}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the Lie algebra of $\mathbf{Aff}(G)$, i.e., $\mathfrak{aff}(\mathfrak{g}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}), \ b \in \mathfrak{g} \right\}$ which we identify with $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathfrak{g}$. Denote the linear part by $\ell(A, b) = A$ and the translational part by t(A, b) = b. Now we associate to the LSA (\mathfrak{g}, \cdot) the map

$$\alpha = \lambda \oplus \mathbf{1}: \ \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{aff}(\mathfrak{g})$$

It is an affine representation of \mathfrak{g} . We have $\lambda = \ell \circ \alpha$ and $t \circ \alpha = 1$. The corresponding affine representation of G is étale, see [SEG].

If $\alpha: G \to \operatorname{Aff}(E)$ is an étale affine representation, then its differential $\varrho: \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{aff}(E)$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism such that the *evaluation map* $\operatorname{ev}_p: \mathfrak{g} \to E$, $x \mapsto \varrho(x)p = \theta(x)p + u(x)$ is an isomorphism for some $p \in E$, where $\theta: \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}(E)$ is a linear representation, say E_{θ} as module, and u is the translational part of ϱ . It suffices to look at p = 0. Then $u = \operatorname{ev}_0$ is a vector space isomorphism. Moreover $u \in Z^1(\mathfrak{g}, E_{\theta})$, and hence $\lambda(a) = u^{-1} \circ \theta(a) \circ u$ defines an LSA-product via $a \cdot b = \lambda(a)b$ on \mathfrak{g} . \Box

Milnor's question (1) now is equivalent to the algebraic question

(1') Which Lie algebras admit LSA-structures ?

Semisimple Lie algebras over characteristic zero do not admit LSA-structures. Certain reductive Lie algebras do, for example $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$. For more details see [BU1]. Milnor conjectured that every solvmanifold is affine, i.e., any solvable Lie algebra admits (complete) LSA-structures. It is the purpose of this paper to discuss counterexamples. We consider the nilpotent case. The following Proposition indicates where the support for Milnor's conjecture came from:

Proposition 1 Let \mathfrak{g} be a nilpotent Lie algebra of characteristic zero satisfying one of the following conditions:

- (1) $\dim \mathfrak{g} < 8.$
- (2) \mathfrak{g} is p-step nilpotent with p < 4.
- (3) \mathfrak{g} is \mathbb{Z} -graded.
- (4) g possesses a nonsingular derivation.
- (5) g is filiform nilpotent and a quotient of a higher-dimensional filiform nilpotent Lie algebra.

Then \mathfrak{g} admits an LSA-structure.

Proof: Condition (4) is a special case of the following: Let $\psi \in Z^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_{\theta})$ be a nonsingular 1-cocycle, where $\theta : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a representation. Then $\lambda(x) := \psi^{-1} \circ \theta(x) \circ \psi$ is defined and $\mathbf{1} \in Z^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_{\lambda})$, i.e., λ defines an LSA-structure. If θ is the adjoint representation of \mathfrak{g} then an invertible $\psi \in Z^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ is a nonsingular derivation. Condition (3) implies condition (4). The first two conditions are discussed in [BEN] and [MI2], the last one in [BU2].

The following Lemma is useful:

Lemma 2 If \mathfrak{g} admits an LSA-structure then \mathfrak{g} has a faithful representation of dimension dim $\mathfrak{g} + 1$.

Proof: The LSA-structure on \mathfrak{g} induces a *faithful* affine representation $\alpha : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{aff}(\mathfrak{g})$, called the *affine holonomy representation*, see [FGH]. If dim $\mathfrak{g} = n$ then $\mathfrak{aff}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(n+1)$ and we obtain a faithful linear representation of dimension n+1.

Definition 3 Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra over a field k. Define

 $\mu(\mathfrak{g}, k) := \min\{\dim_k M \mid M \text{ is a faithful } \mathfrak{g}\text{-module}\}$

By Ado's Theorem (and Iwasawa's in prime characteristic) we know that μ is integer valued. Properties of μ are studied in [BU2]. We summarize here a few of them. Let us assume here $k = \mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 2 Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra of dimension $n \geq 2$ over \mathbb{C} .

- (1) If \mathfrak{g} is abelian then $\mu(\mathfrak{g}) = \lceil 2\sqrt{n-1} \rceil$.
- (2) If \mathfrak{g} has trivial center then $\mu(\mathfrak{g}) \leq n$.

(3) If \mathfrak{g} is a Heisenberg Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}_{2m+1} of dimension 2m+1, then $\mu(\mathfrak{g}) = m+2$.

- (4) If \mathfrak{g} is solvable then $\mu(\mathfrak{g}) < \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{n}} 2^n$ with $\alpha \sim 2.762872$
- (5) If \mathfrak{g} is p-step nilpotent then $\mu(\mathfrak{g}) < 1 + n^p$.
- (6) If \mathfrak{g} is filiform nilpotent then $n \leq \mu(\mathfrak{g}) < \frac{\sqrt{3}}{12} \exp(\pi \sqrt{2n/3}).$
- (7) If \mathfrak{g} satisfies one of the conditions in Proposition 1 then $\mu(\mathfrak{g}) \leq n+1$.

- (8) If \mathfrak{g} is a quotient of a filiform nilpotent Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}' with $\dim \mathfrak{g}' > \dim \mathfrak{g} = n$ then $\mu(\mathfrak{g}) = n$.
- (9) If \mathfrak{g} is filiform nilpotent with abelian commutator algebra then $\mu(\mathfrak{g}) = n$.
- (10) If \mathfrak{g} is filiform nilpotent of dimension n < 10 then $\mu(\mathfrak{g}) = n$.

The key step for the construction of the counterexamples to the Milnor conjecture is to determine Lie algebras with

$$\mu(\mathfrak{g}) > \dim \mathfrak{g} + 1$$

In fact, we will construct filiform Lie algebras in dimensions 10,11 with that property. These Lie algebras are not quotients of any filiform Lie algebra of higher dimension.

3 Varieties of filiform Lie algebra structures

Let \mathfrak{g} be a p-step nilpotent Lie algebra and let $\mathfrak{g}^0 = \mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{g}^k = [\mathfrak{g}^{k-1}, \mathfrak{g}]$ and $\mathfrak{g}_0 = 0$, $\mathfrak{g}_k = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : [x, \mathfrak{g}] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{k-1}\}$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$; the series

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^0 \supset \mathfrak{g}^1 \supset \ldots \supset \mathfrak{g}^{p-1} \supset \mathfrak{g}^p = 0$$

is called *lower central series*, and the series

$$0 = \mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}_1 \subset \ldots \subset \mathfrak{g}_{p-1} \subset \mathfrak{g}_p = \mathfrak{g}$$

is called upper central series. They are both of length p. Define $F_i(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}^{i-1}$ and $M_i(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}_{p-i+1}$ for i > 1. Set $F_i(\mathfrak{g}) = M_i(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}$ for $i \leq 1$. It holds $[F_i(\mathfrak{g}), M_j(\mathfrak{g})] \subset M_{i+j}(\mathfrak{g})$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. The series $F_i(\mathfrak{g})$ defines a filtration of \mathfrak{g} and the series $M_i(\mathfrak{g})$ defines a filtration of the adjoint module \mathfrak{g} . These induce a filtration on the spaces of cochains, cocycles, coboundaries and cohomology.

Definition 4 A p-step nilpotent Lie algebra of dimension n is called *filiform nilpotent* if p = n-1. Let L = L(n) be the Lie algebra generated by e_0, \ldots, e_n with Lie brackets $[e_0, e_i] = e_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$ and the other brackets zero. L is called the *standard* graded filiform of dimension n+1.

Note that $F_i(\mathfrak{g}) = M_i(\mathfrak{g})$ for filiform Lie algebras. L is graded by

$$L = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} L_i$$

where L_1 is generated by e_0 and e_1 , L_i by e_i for i = 2, 3, ..., n and the other subspaces are zero. Setting

$$C_{q}^{j}(L,L) = \{ g \in C^{j}(L,L) \mid g(L_{i_{1}},\ldots,L_{i_{j}}) \in L_{i_{1}},\ldots,i_{j} \neq 1 \leq i_{1},\ldots,i_{j} < n \}$$

where $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ yields a \mathbb{Z} -grading in the space $C^{j}(L, L)$ of j-cochains compatible with the coboundary operator d, i.e., $d(C^{j}_{q}(L, L)) \subset C^{j+1}_{q}(L, L)$. Hence we have assigned gradings to the spaces of cocycles and coboundaries compatible with the filtrations of the respective spaces:

$$F_k Z^j(L,L) = \bigoplus_{i \ge k} Z^j_i(L,L), \quad F_k B^j(L,L) = \bigoplus_{i \ge k} B^j_i(L,L),$$
$$F_k H^j(L,L) = \bigoplus_{i \ge k} H^j_i(L,L)$$

Denote by $\mathcal{L}_n(k)$ the affine algebraic variety of all Lie algebra structures in dimension n over k. A point in $\mathcal{L}_n(k)$ is a structural tensor $\{C_{ij}^k\}$ corresponding to a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} with basis $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ over k such that $[v_i, v_j] = \sum C_{ij}^k v_k$. The C_{ij}^k are called structure constants. They form a structural tensor $\gamma \in \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ which is identified with the bilinear skew-symmetric mapping $\gamma : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ defining the Lie bracket on \mathfrak{g} . Nilpotent Lie algebras of class p form a subvariety $\mathcal{N}_n^p(k)$ of $\mathcal{L}_n(k)$. The group $\mathbf{GL}_n(k)$ acts on $\mathcal{L}_n(k)$ by $(g \cdot \gamma)(x, y) = g(\gamma(g^{-1}(x), g^{-1}(y)))$.

Two Lie algebras in $\mathcal{L}_n(k)$ are isomorphic iff they belong to the same orbit of $\mathbf{GL}_n(k)$. Let γ be a point of $\mathcal{L}_n(k)$ corresponding to the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Then the Zariski tangent space to $\mathcal{L}_n(k)$ at the point γ coincides with $Z^2(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g})$, and the tangent space to the orbit of \mathfrak{g} at γ coincides with $B^2(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g})$.

Let k be \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} : A deformation in $\mathcal{L}_n(k)$ is a continuous curve $c: [0, \epsilon] \to \mathcal{L}_n(k), t \mapsto \mathfrak{g}(t)$. For $t \in [0, \epsilon]$ denote by $\mathfrak{g}(t)$ the Lie algebra corresponding to the structural tensor $c(t) \in \mathcal{L}_n(k)$. If c is analytic we have the convergent series

$$c(t) = F_0 + F_1 \cdot t + F_2 \cdot t^2 + \dots$$

where $F_m = \{F_m\}_{ij}^k = \frac{c^{(m)}(0)}{m!} \in k^{n^3}$. We may also consider formal series and formal deformations. The corresponding Lie algebra bracket is given by

$$[a,b]_t = F_0(a,b) + F_1(a,b)t + F_2(a,b)t^2 + \dots$$

where $[a,b]_0 = [a,b]$ is the bracket in \mathfrak{g} . Then the Jacobi identity for $[,]_t$ implies $F_1 \in Z^2(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g})$. These 2-cocycles are called *infinitesimal deformations*. If F_1 corresponds to a deformation (which is not always the case) then F_1 is said to be *integrable*. If we have two equivalent (formal) deformations, i.e., if the corresponding Lie algebras are isomorphic, then F_1 and F'_1 corresponding to these deformations are cohomological, i.e., $F_1 - F'_1 \in B^2(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g})$.

The following Proposition describes filiform Lie algebra structures in the variety $\mathcal{N}_n^{n-1}(k)$ (see [VER]):

Proposition 3 (Vergne) Every filiform nilpotent Lie algebra of dimension $n + 1 \ge 8$ is isomorphic to an infinitesimal deformation of the standard graded n + 1 -dimensional

filiform L. More precisely it is isomorphic to an algebra $(L)_{\psi}$ where ψ is an integrable 2-cocycle whose cohomology class lies in

$$\begin{array}{ll} F_1 H^2(L,L) & \mbox{if} & n \equiv 0(2) \\ F_1 H^2(L,L) & + & <\psi_{\frac{n-1}{2},n} > & \mbox{if} & n \equiv 1(2) \end{array}$$

Here the algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\psi} = (L)_{\psi}$ is defined by the bracket $[a, b]_{\psi} = [a, b]_L + \psi(a, b)$. The fact that this bracket satisfies the Jacobi identity means that ψ is integrable, i.e., satisfies

(J)
$$\psi(a, \psi(b, c)) + \psi(b, \psi(c, a)) + \psi(c, \psi(a, b)) = 0.$$

The canonical 2 –cocycles $\psi_{k,s}$ are defined by

$$\psi_{k,s}(e_i, e_{i+1}) = \delta_{ik}e_s$$

for pairs (k, s) with $1 \le k \le n-1$ and $2k \le s \le n$. Hakimjanov proved ([HAK]):

Proposition 4 The cohomology classes of the cocycles $\psi_{k,s}$ with $1 \le k \le n, 4 \le s \le n, 2k+1 \le s$ form a basis of $F_0H^2(L,L)$. This space has dimension $\frac{n^2-2n-3}{4}$ if n is odd, and dimension $\frac{n^2-2n-4}{4}$ if n is even.

Now it is not difficult to see that the classes of $\psi_{k,s}$ with $1 \le k \le [n/2]-1$, $2k+2 \le s \le n$ form a basis for $F_1H^2(L,L)$. Hence, with dim L = n + 1,

dim
$$F_1 H^2(L, L) = \begin{cases} \frac{(n-2)^2}{4}, & n \equiv 0(2) \\ \frac{(n-3)(n-1)}{4}, & n \equiv 1(2) \end{cases}$$

We also have

(P)
$$\psi_{k,s}(e_i, e_j) = (-1)^k \binom{j-k-1}{k-i} (ade_0)^{i+j-2k-1} e_s$$

for $1 \le i < k < j-1 \le n-1$. In case i > k, $\psi_{k,s}(e_i, e_j) = 0$ and $\psi_{k,s}(e_k, e_j) = e_{s+j-k-1}$ for k < j.

4 Filiform Lie algebras in dimension 10

Let $L = L(9) = \langle e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_9 \rangle$ be the standard graded filiform Lie algebra of dimension 10. According to Proposition 3 every filiform nilpotent Lie algebra of dimension 10 is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}_{\psi} = (L)_{\psi}$ for some $\psi \in F_1H^2(L,L) + \langle \psi_{4,9} \rangle$. In terms of the basis of this cohomology space we may write

$$\psi = \alpha_1 \psi_{1,4} + \alpha_2 \psi_{1,5} + \ldots + \alpha_6 \psi_{1,9} + \alpha_7 \psi_{2,6} + \ldots + \alpha_{10} \psi_{2,9} + \alpha_{11} \psi_{3,8} + \alpha_{12} \psi_{3,9} + \alpha_{13} \psi_{4,9}$$

For the convenience of the reader we will calculate ψ on the basis by using (P). We only list nonzero values:

$$\begin{split} \psi(e_1, e_2) &= \alpha_1 e_4 + \alpha_2 e_5 + \dots + \alpha_6 e_9 \\ \psi(e_1, e_3) &= \alpha_1 e_5 + \alpha_2 e_6 + \dots + \alpha_5 e_9 \\ \psi(e_1, e_4) &= (\alpha_1 - \alpha_7) e_6 + (\alpha_2 - \alpha_8) e_7 + \dots + (\alpha_4 - \alpha_{10}) e_9 \\ \psi(e_1, e_5) &= (\alpha_1 - 2\alpha_7) e_7 + (\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_8) e_8 + (\alpha_3 - 2\alpha_9) e_9 \\ \psi(e_1, e_5) &= (\alpha_1 - 3\alpha_7 + \alpha_{11}) e_8 + (\alpha_2 - 3\alpha_8 + \alpha_{12}) e_9 \\ \psi(e_1, e_7) &= (\alpha_1 - 4\alpha_7 + 3\alpha_{11}) e_9 \\ \psi(e_1, e_8) &= -\alpha_{13} e_9 \\ \psi(e_2, e_3) &= \alpha_7 e_6 + \alpha_8 e_7 + \dots + \alpha_{10} e_9 \\ \psi(e_2, e_4) &= \alpha_7 e_7 + \alpha_8 e_8 + \alpha_9 e_9 \\ \psi(e_2, e_5) &= (\alpha_7 - \alpha_{11}) e_8 + (\alpha_8 - \alpha_{12}) e_9 \\ \psi(e_2, e_6) &= (\alpha_7 - 2\alpha_{11}) e_9 \\ \psi(e_3, e_4) &= \alpha_{11} e_8 + \alpha_{12} e_9 \\ \psi(e_3, e_6) &= -\alpha_{13} e_9 \\ \psi(e_3, e_6) &= -\alpha_{13} e_9 \\ \psi(e_4, e_5) &= \alpha_{13} e_9 \end{split}$$

The cocycle ψ is integrable iff (J) holds, i.e., iff $[a,b]_{\psi} = [a,b]_L + \psi(a,b)$ satisfies the Jacobi identity. This is equivalent to the following equations:

(1)
$$\alpha_{13}(2\alpha_3 + \alpha_9) - \alpha_{12}(2\alpha_1 + \alpha_7) - 3\alpha_{11}(\alpha_2 + \alpha_8) + 7\alpha_7\alpha_8 = 0$$

(2)
$$\alpha_{11}(2\alpha_1 + \alpha_7) - 3\alpha_7^2 = 0$$

(3)
$$\alpha_{13}(2\alpha_1 - \alpha_7 - \alpha_{11}) = 0$$

Since the integrability conditions are pleasantly simple we obtain the following filiform Lie algebras \mathfrak{g}_{ψ} with bracket $[a, b]_{\psi}$:

Case A: $2\alpha_1 + \alpha_7 \neq 0$:

(A1) $\alpha_1 \neq 0,$ $\alpha_7 = -\alpha_1, \, \alpha_{11} = 3\alpha_1,$ $\alpha_{12} = (\alpha_{13}\alpha_1^{-1})(2\alpha_3 + \alpha_9) - (9\alpha_2 + 16\alpha_8)$

(A2)
$$\alpha_1 \neq 0,$$
 $\alpha_{11} = \alpha_7 = \alpha_1,$
 $\alpha_{12} = -(\alpha_1(3\alpha_2 - 4\alpha_8) - \alpha_{13}(2\alpha_3 + \alpha_9))/(3\alpha_1)$

(A3)
$$\alpha_1 \neq 0, \, \alpha_7^2 \neq \alpha_1^2, \qquad \alpha_{13} = 0, \, \alpha_{11} = 3\alpha_7^2/(2\alpha_1 + \alpha_7), \\ \alpha_{12} = \alpha_7(14\alpha_1\alpha_8 - 9\alpha_2\alpha_7 - 2\alpha_7\alpha_8)/(2\alpha_1 + \alpha_7)^2$$

Case B: $2\alpha_1 + \alpha_7 = 0$:

- (B1) $\alpha_{13} = \alpha_7 = \alpha_1 = 0, \ \alpha_{11}(\alpha_2 + \alpha_8) = 0$
- (B2) $\alpha_{13} \neq 0, \ \alpha_{11} = \alpha_7 = \alpha_1 = 0, \ \alpha_9 = -2\alpha_3$

We have the following result for the minimal dimension of faithful modules for these classes of Lie algebras:

Proposition 5 If \mathfrak{g}_{ψ} is a filiform Lie algebra of class A3, B1, B2 then $\mu(\mathfrak{g}_{\psi}) = 10$; if \mathfrak{g}_{ψ} is of class A1, A2 satisfying the additional condition $3\alpha_2 + \alpha_8 = 0$ in case of class A1, then $\mu(\mathfrak{g}_{\psi}) = 10$ or 11.

Proof: The above Lie algebras are generated by e_0, e_1 and have one-dimensional center $\mathfrak{z} = \langle e_9 \rangle$. Let $\varrho: \mathfrak{g}_{\psi} \to \mathfrak{gl}(M)$ be a faithful representation. Then $\dim M \geq 10$ and the faithfulness of ϱ is equivalent to $\varrho(e_9) \neq 0$ (see [BGR]). Define $E_i = \varrho(e_i)$. The module M is generated by E_0 and E_1 . We call such a module M a Δ -module if $\dim M = 11$ and if M is nilpotent, i.e., every $\varrho(x)$ is nilpotent. We will now construct Δ -modules M:

There is a basis $\{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{11}\}$ for M such that E_0, E_1 are simultaneously strictly upper triangular matrices and, moreover, such that there is in each row and each column of E_0 at most one nonzero entry (see [BGR]). Note that the *center* Z of a Δ -module is $\ker(E_0) \cap \ker(E_1)$ and contains f_1 . Any subspace U of Z is a submodule, and the quotient module will be faithful iff f_1 is not in U. Since $\mu(\mathfrak{g}_{\psi}) \geq 10$ the dimension of Z is at most 2.

Define the first layer of E_0 to be the first upper diagonal, say $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_{10}\}$, the second layer the second upper diagonal $\{\lambda_{11}, \lambda_{12}, \ldots, \lambda_{19}\}$ and so forth. Let N_1 denote the set of indices i such that $\lambda_i = 0$ in the first layer of E_0 , N_j the set of indices i such that $\lambda_i = 1$ in the j-th layer of E_0 for $j = 2, 3, \ldots, 10$.

Definition 5 Define the *combinatorical type* of a Δ -module M (the *type* of E_0) to be

$$type(M) = \{ N_1 \mid N_2 \mid \dots \mid N_{10} \}.$$

Of course, this notation generalizes to n –dimensional filiform Lie algebras and their Δ – modules of dimension n + 1.

Empty sets N_i are omitted in this notation. If E_0 is of full Jordan block form, i.e., if $N_j = \emptyset$ for all j then we set $\text{type}(M) = \emptyset$. Not all types are faithful. It is easy to see that the faithfulness of M depends only on the first and second layers of E_0, E_1 (the formulas for E_9 contain only those elements from E_0, E_1 , see [BGR]). It is possible to give a list of all faithful types. Moreover we can reduce the number of types as follows:

Lemma 3 Let M be a Δ - module for \mathfrak{g}_{ψ} . Then we may assume that the type of M is one of the following:

(1)Ø (2) $\{i\}$ i = 5, ..., 10(3) $\{i, i+1\}$ i = 5, ..., 9(4) $\{i, i+1 \mid 10+i\}$ i = 5, ..., 9j > i+2 $\{i, i+1, j \mid 10+i\}$ i = 5, 6, 7(5)j = 6, 7, 8, 9 i < j - 1 $\{i, j, j+1 \mid 10+j\}$ (6)

The proof is exactly the same as for Lemma 3.2 in [BGR]. Note that types with additional entries r in the third or higher layer can also be reduced to one of the types listed (by constructing a module with r = 0). This was not mentioned in [BGR].

To construct the Δ -modules M we specify the type of M. We obtain equations in the entries of E_1 . It turns out that, once solved the equations involving the first and second layer of E_1 , the remaining equations can always be easily solved by substitutions of certain x_i appearing as *linear* monomials. Thus we will describe the Δ -modules (which prove the claim of the Proposition) by specifying the *type of* E_0 and the *first and second layer* of E_1 . The complete solution may be found in the appendix [APP].

Denote by $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}_{\psi}$ the graded filiform algebra associated to \mathfrak{g}_{ψ} (induced by the natural filtration of \mathfrak{g}_{ψ} by degree). Also, for a \mathfrak{g}_{ψ} -module M denote the associated $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}_{\psi}$ -module by \overline{M} . It is obtained from M by considering the filtration $M^0 = M^1 = M$ and $M^{i+1} = E_0 M^i + E_1 M_{i-1}$ and forming the associated graded module. The first two layers of E_0, E_1 describe \overline{M} . Using the coefficients of $\operatorname{ad} e_1$ of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}_{\psi}$ we construct the second layer of E_1 for M. For a more precise statement, see Remark 1 below. Let $f = f_{11}, U = \langle f \rangle$, and $E_9(i, j)$ denote the entry of E_9 at position (i, j). The constructed modules are as follows:

Case B1, $\alpha_{11} = 0$:

 $\begin{array}{l} E_0 \ \mbox{is of type } \{1,10\} \\ \mbox{First layer of } E_1: \ \{1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0\} \\ \mbox{Second layer of } E_1: \ \{0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0\} \\ \mbox{The center of } M \ \mbox{is generated by } f_1,f \ \mbox{and } E_9(1,11) = 1 \ . \ \mbox{We obtain a faithful module } \\ M/U \ \mbox{of dimension } 10 \ . \end{array}$

Case B1, $\alpha_2 + \alpha_8 = 0$:

 $\begin{array}{l} E_0 \text{ is of type } \{1,10\} \\ \text{First layer of } E_1: \ \{1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0\} \\ \text{Second layer of } E_1: \ \{0,0,0,0,0,0,-\frac{\alpha_{11}}{2},-\frac{8\alpha_{11}}{5},0\} \\ \text{The center of } M \text{ is generated by } f_1,f \text{ and } E_9(1,11) = 1 \\ . \ M/U \text{ is a faithful module of dimension } 10 \\ . \end{array}$

Case B2:

 $\begin{array}{l} E_0 \ \text{is of type} \ \{1,10\} \\ \text{First layer of} \ E_1: \ \{1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,-\frac{\alpha_{13}}{2},0\} \\ \text{Second layer of} \ E_1: \ \{0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0\} \\ \text{The center of} \ M \ \text{is generated by} \ f_1, f \ \text{and} \ E_9(1,11) = 1 \ . \ M/U \ \text{is a faithful module} \\ \text{of dimension} \ 10 \ . \end{array}$

Case A3:

 $E_{0} \text{ is of type } \{10\}$ First layer of E_{1} : $\{1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\}$ Second layer of E_{1} : $\{0, -\alpha_{1}, -\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{7} - \alpha_{1}, 2\alpha_{7} - \alpha_{1}, \frac{\alpha_{1}(5\alpha_{7} - 2\alpha_{1})}{2\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{7}}, \frac{(5\alpha_{7} - 2\alpha_{1})(\alpha_{1} - \alpha_{7})}{2\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{7}}, (5\alpha_{7}^{3} - 2\alpha_{1}^{3} + 10\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{7} + 16\alpha_{1}\alpha_{7}^{2})/2(\alpha_{1}^{2} - \alpha_{7}^{2}), 0\}$

The center of M is generated by f_1, f and $E_9(1, 11) = 1$. M/U is a faithful module of dimension 10.

Case A2 satisfying $\alpha_{13} \neq 0$ and $33\alpha_2 - 20\alpha_8 = 0$:

 $\begin{array}{l} E_0 \ \text{ is of type } \{9\} \\ \text{First layer of } E_1: \ \{-\frac{10\alpha_{13}}{11}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\} \\ \text{Second layer of } E_1: \ \{-\frac{23\alpha_2\alpha_{13}}{22\alpha_1}, -\alpha_1, -\alpha_1, 0, \alpha_1, \alpha_1, 0, 1, -2\} \\ \text{The center of } M \ \text{is generated by } f_1 \ \text{and } E_9(1, 11) = -10 \ . \ M \ \text{is a faithful module of dimension } 11 \ . \end{array}$

Case A2 satisfying $\alpha_{13} = 0$ and $33\alpha_2 - 20\alpha_8 = 0$:

 $\begin{array}{ll} E_0 & \text{is of type } \{9\} \\ \text{First layer of } E_1: & \{0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0\} \\ \text{Second layer of } E_1: & \{0,-\alpha_1,-\alpha_1,0,\alpha_1,\alpha_1,0,1,-2\} \\ \text{The center of } M & \text{is generated by } f_1 & \text{and } E_9(1,11) = -10 \\ \text{.} & M & \text{is a faithful module of dimension } 11 \\ \text{.} \end{array}$

Case A2 satisfying $\alpha_{13} \neq 0$, $\gamma = 33\alpha_2 - 20\alpha_8 \neq 0$ and $726\alpha_1^2 - \gamma\alpha_{13} = 0$:

 E_0 is of type $\{1, 9, 10 \mid 19\}$

First layer of E_1 : $\{1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{\alpha_{13}}{11}, 0, \frac{1}{\alpha_1^2}\}$

Second layer of E_1 : $\{0, -\alpha_1, -\alpha_1, 0, \alpha_1, \alpha_1, 0, \frac{2\alpha_1^2\alpha_{13}^2}{121}, -\frac{2\alpha_{13}}{11}\}$ The center of M is generated by f_1 and $E_9(1, 11) = 1$. M is a faithful module of dimension 11.

 $\begin{array}{l} Case \ A2 \ satisfying \ \alpha_{13} \neq 0 \ , \ \gamma = 33\alpha_2 - 20\alpha_8 \neq 0 \ and \ 726\alpha_1^2 - \gamma\alpha_{13} \neq 0 \ : \\ E_0 \ \text{is of type} \ \{9, 10 \mid 19\} \\ \text{First layer of} \ E_1 : \ \{-\frac{660\alpha_1^2\alpha_{13}}{726\alpha_1^2 - \gamma\alpha_{13}}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{66\alpha_1^2}{\gamma}, 0, \frac{8712\alpha_1^4}{\gamma^2}\} \\ \text{Second layer of} \ E_1 : \ \{0, -\alpha_1, -\alpha_1, 0, \alpha_1, \alpha_1, 0, 1, -\frac{132\alpha_1^2}{\gamma}\} \\ \text{The center of} \ M \ \text{is generated by} \ f_1 \ \text{and} \\ E_9(1, 11) = -\frac{479160\alpha_1^4}{\gamma(726\alpha_1^2 - \gamma\alpha_{13})} \ . \ M \ \text{is a faithful module of dimension} \ 11 \ . \\ Case \ A2 \ satisfying \ \alpha_{13} = 0 \ and \ \gamma = 33\alpha_2 - 20\alpha_8 \neq 0 \ : \\ E_0 \ \text{is of type} \ \{9, 10 \mid 19\} \\ \text{First layer of} \ E_1 : \ \{0, -\alpha_1, -\alpha_1, 0, \alpha_1, \alpha_1, 0, 1, -\frac{132\alpha_1^2}{\gamma^2}\} \\ \text{Second layer of} \ E_1 : \ \{0, -\alpha_1, -\alpha_1, 0, \alpha_1, \alpha_1, 0, 1, -\frac{132\alpha_1^2}{\gamma}\} \\ \text{Second layer of} \ E_1 : \ \{0, -\alpha_1, -\alpha_1, 0, \alpha_1, \alpha_1, 0, 1, -\frac{132\alpha_1^2}{\gamma}\} \\ \text{The center of} \ M \ \text{is generated by} \ f_1 \ \text{and} \\ E_9(1, 11) = -\frac{660\alpha_1^2}{\gamma} \ . \ M \ \text{is a faithful module of dimension} \ 11 \ . \end{array}$

Case A1 satisfying $3\alpha_2 + \alpha_8 = 0$, $\alpha_2 \neq 0$ and $22\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_2\alpha_{13} = 0$:

 $E_0 \text{ is of type } \{1, 9, 10 \mid 19\}$ First layer of E_1 : $\{1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{\alpha_{13}}{11}, 0, \frac{1}{\alpha_1^2}\}$

Second layer of E_1 : $\{0, 7\alpha_1, 3\alpha_1, 2\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_1, 0, \frac{2\alpha_1^2\alpha_{13}^2}{121}, -\frac{2\alpha_{13}}{11}\}$ The center of M is generated by f_1 and $E_9(1, 11) = 1$. M is a faithful module of dimension 11.

Case A1 satisfying $3\alpha_2 + \alpha_8 = 0, \alpha_{13}, \alpha_2 \neq 0$ and $\gamma = 22\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_2\alpha_{13} \neq 0$:

 E_0 is of type $\{9, 10 \mid 19\}$

First layer of E_1 : $\left\{-\frac{20\alpha_1^2\alpha_{13}}{\gamma}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{2\alpha_1^2}{\alpha_2}, 0, \frac{8\alpha_1^4}{\alpha_2^2}\right\}$ Second layer of E_1 : $\left\{0, 7\alpha_1, 3\alpha_1, 2\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_1, -\frac{7\alpha_1\gamma}{5\alpha_2\alpha_{13}}, 1, -\frac{4\alpha_1^2}{\alpha_2}\right\}$ The center of M is generated by f_1 and $E_9(1, 11) = -\frac{440\alpha_1^4}{\gamma\alpha_2}$. M is a faithful module of dimension 11. Case A1 satisfying $3\alpha_2 + \alpha_8 = 0$, $\alpha_{13} = 0$ and $\alpha_2 \neq 0$:

 E_0 is of type $\{9, 10 \mid 19\}$

First layer of E_1 : $\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{2\alpha_1^2}{\alpha_2}, 0, \frac{8\alpha_1^4}{\alpha_2^2}\}$

Second layer of E_1 : $\{14\alpha_1, 7\alpha_1, 3\alpha_1, 2\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_1, 0, 1, -\frac{4\alpha_1^2}{\alpha_2}\}$

The center of M is generated by f_1 and $E_9(1,11) = -\frac{20\alpha_1^2}{\alpha_2}$. M is a faithful module of dimension 11.

Case A1 satisfying $3\alpha_2 + \alpha_8 = 0$, $\alpha_{13} \neq 0$ and $\alpha_2 = 0$:

 $\begin{array}{ll} E_0 & \text{is of type } \{9\} \\ \text{First layer of } E_1: \ \{-\frac{10\alpha_1}{11}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \alpha_{13}, 0, 2\alpha_{13}\} \\ \text{Second layer of } E_1: \ \{0, 7\alpha_1, 3\alpha_1, 2\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_1, -\frac{77\alpha_1}{5}, \alpha_{13}, -2\alpha_{13}\} \\ \text{The center of } M & \text{is generated by } f_1 & \text{and } E_9(1, 11) = -10\alpha_{13} \\ M & \text{is a faithful module of dimension } 11 \\ \end{array}$

Case A1 satisfying $3\alpha_2 + \alpha_8 = 0$ and $\alpha_{13}, \alpha_2 = 0$:

 E_0 is of type {9} First layer of E_1 : {0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0} Second layer of E_1 : {14 α_1 , 7 α_1 , 3 α_1 , 2 α_1 , α_1 , α_1 , 0, 1, -2} The center of M is generated by f_1 and $E_9(1,11) = -10$. M is a faithful module of dimension 11.

It is easy to check that we have indeed constructed faithful modules of dimension 10 or 11 for all Lie algebras \mathfrak{g}_{ψ} except for those of class A1 satisfying $3\alpha_2 + \alpha_8 \neq 0$.

Remark 1 For the graded algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}_{\psi}$ we have $[e_1, e_i]_{gr} = \beta_i e_{2+i}$. Consider the set $\{\beta_2, \ldots, \beta_6\}$. As an example, for $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}_{\psi}$ of class A1 we obtain

 $\{\beta_2, \ldots, \beta_6\} = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_1, 2\alpha_1, 3\alpha_1, 7\alpha_1\}.$

Let $\{y_1, \ldots, y_{10}\}$ be the second layer of E_1 for M. The set of coefficients $\{y_2, \ldots, y_6\}$ (or their negative ones) coincides with $\{\beta_2, \ldots, \beta_6\}$.

The next Proposition shows that the "missing" case indeed provides counterexamples to the Milnor conjecture:

Proposition 6 Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\psi} = \mathfrak{g}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{13})$ be a Lie algebra of class A1, satisfying $3\alpha_2 + \alpha_8 \neq 0$. Then $12 \leq \mu(\mathfrak{g}_{\psi}) \leq 22$.

Proof: Let \mathfrak{g}_{ψ} be any filiform nilpotent Lie algebra of dimension 10. Suppose there is any faithful module M of dimension m < 12. By Lemma 3.2. in [BEN] we may assume that M is nilpotent and is of dimension 11. Then is has to be isomorphic to one of the Δ -modules listed in Lemma 3. We have to check, for every type of this list, if the equations for the coefficients of E_1 have a solution or not. Many of the types (especially (5), (6)) are done after just solving a few linear equations. The result of the computations then is exactly Proposition 5 and 6. In fact, the solutions for the classes of Proposition 5 were determined just by this procedure. In [BGR] we have written up the computations in detail for 11 –dimensional algebras. The computations here are similar but a great deal simpler. As an example for a more difficult type, assume that E_0 is of type $\{10\}$,

First layer of E_1 : $\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_{10}\}$

Second layer of $E_1: \{x_{11}, ..., x_{19}\}$

Examining the module-equations we find (assume $x19 \neq 0$): $x_8 = 2x_7$, $x_7 = 0$, $x_5x_6 = 0$, $3x_6 = 2x_5$ and $x_3 = x_4 = 0$, $7x_2 = 2x_1 + \alpha_{13}$. Then $x_1(2x_1 + \alpha_{13}) = \alpha_{13}(9x_1 + 8\alpha_{13}) = 0$. This implies $x_1 = x_2 = \alpha_{13} = 0$. Furthermore $x_{17} = 2x_{16} - \alpha_1$, $x_{16} = 3x_{15} - 2x_{14} + \alpha_1$ and $x_{15} = 4x_{14} - 5x_{13} + 2x_{12} - 3\alpha_1$. Then we find eight equations in $x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{14}$ and α_1 (see [APP]). They have only the trivial solution, i.e., $\alpha_1 = 0$, contradiction. If $x_{19} = 0$ it follows $\alpha_1 = 0$ by similar computations.

If \mathfrak{g}_{ψ} is of class A1 (with $\alpha_{13} \neq 0$) then we obtain very soon a contradiction except for the following types:

$$\{9\}, \{1, 9, 10 \mid 19\}, \{1, 8, 9 \mid 18\}, \{9, 10 \mid 19\}, \{8, 9 \mid 18\}$$

However, in these cases it follows $3\alpha_2 + \alpha_8 = 0$.

Let \mathfrak{g} denote \mathfrak{g}_{ψ} of class A1 satisfying $3\alpha_2 + \alpha_8 \neq 0$. The universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ has a basis of ordered monomials $e^{\alpha} = e_9^{\alpha_9} \cdots e_0^{\alpha_0}$ with an order function. We have $\operatorname{ord}(e_0) = 1$ and $\operatorname{ord} e_i = i$ for $i \geq 1$ (for details see [BGR]). Let

$$U^m(\mathfrak{g}) = \{T \in U(\mathfrak{g}) : \operatorname{ord}(T) \ge m\}$$

 $U^m(\mathfrak{g})$ is an ideal of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ of finite codimension. Define $V = U(\mathfrak{g})/U^m(\mathfrak{g})$.

One can show that V is a faithful \mathfrak{g} -module if m is greater than the nilpotency class of \mathfrak{g} . Take m = 10. Then V has a vector space basis above has the vector space basis

$$\{e_9^{\alpha_9} \cdots e_0^{\alpha_0} \mid 9\alpha_9 + \cdots + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_0 \leq 9\}$$

The elements e_i of \mathfrak{g} act on V by $e_i e_j = [e_i, e_j] + e_j e_i$ for i < j. Consider the following quotient module \widehat{V} of V with vector space basis:

$$\{e_{9}, e_{8}, e_{4}^{2}, e_{7}, e_{4}e_{3}, e_{3}e_{2}^{2}, e_{6}, e_{4}e_{2}, e_{4}e_{1}^{2}, e_{3}^{2}, e_{3}e_{2}e_{1}, e_{3}e_{1}^{3}, e_{2}^{3}, e_{2}^{2}e_{1}^{2}, e_{5}, e_{4}e_{1}, e_{3}e_{2}, e_{3}e_{1}^{2}, e_{2}^{2}e_{1}, e_{2}e_{1}^{3}, e_{1}^{5}, e_{4}, e_{3}e_{1}, e_{2}^{2}, e_{2}e_{1}^{2}, e_{1}^{4}, e_{3}, e_{2}e_{1}, e_{1}^{3}, e_{2}, e_{1}^{2}, e_{1}, 1\}$$

We have constructed a faithful \mathfrak{g} – module \widehat{V} of dimension 33; Passing successively to faithful quotient modules we obtain a faithful representation of dimension 22.

Remark 2 Let G be a connected simply connected Lie group of dimension 10 with filiform nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{13})$. If \mathfrak{g} is of class A1 with $3\alpha_2 + \alpha_8 \neq 0$ then it follows from Proposition 6 that G does not admit any left-invariant affine structure.

A natural question is, whether all other classes actually do admit left-invariant affine structures. We don't believe this to be true, i.e., there should be Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} with $\mu(\mathfrak{g}) \leq \dim \mathfrak{g} + 1$ without any LSA-structure (e.g. some of the algebras of class A_1, A_2). On the other hand we may construct left-invariant affine structures (i.e., LSA-structures) for certain subclasses of A3, B1, B2.

Proposition 7 Let $\mathfrak{g}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{13})$ be a filiform nilpotent Lie algebra of dimension 10. If \mathfrak{g} satisfies on of the following conditions, then \mathfrak{g} admits an LSA-structure.

(1) \mathfrak{g} is of class A3 with $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{g}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_6, 0, 0, 0, \alpha_{10}, 0, 0, 0)$ where $\alpha_1, \alpha_{10} \neq 0$.

(2) \mathfrak{g} is of class B1 with $\alpha_{11} \neq 0$ and $\alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \alpha_8 = 0$

(3) \mathfrak{g}_{ψ} is of class B1 with $\alpha_{11} = 0$ and $\alpha_2(\alpha_2 - 8\alpha_8 + 6\alpha_{12}) = 0$

(4) \mathfrak{g}_{ψ} is of class B2 and $2\alpha_8 = 5\alpha_2$ and $50\alpha_4 = \alpha_2(42\alpha_{12} - 133\alpha_2)/\alpha_{13}$

Proof: If \mathfrak{g} is a quotient of a filiform nilpotent Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} of dimension 11, then \mathfrak{g} admits an LSA-structure by Proposition 1(5). Then there is a surjective homomorphism $\mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{g}$ with one-dimensional kernel. This property is well suited for computations. The above algebras are precisely those admitting such a homomorphism.

There is another construction to obtain LSA-structures, only depending on $\operatorname{ad} e_1$ of the Lie algebra.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a nilpotent Lie algebra of dimension m over k with basis $\{e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_{m-1}\}$ such that $[e_0, e_i] = e_{i+1}$ and $\operatorname{ad} e_1$ maps $k\{e_i, \ldots, e_{m-1}\}$ into $k\{e_{i+1}, \ldots, e_{m-1}\}$. Let \mathfrak{g} be generated by e_0, e_1 . Define linear maps $\lambda(e_i) : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ by

$$\lambda(e_0)e_i = \begin{cases} 0, & i=0\\ \frac{(i-1)}{i}e_{i+1}, & i \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

and $\lambda(e_1) = \operatorname{ad} e_1$, $\lambda(e_{i+1}) = [\lambda(e_0), \lambda(e_i)]$. They induce a linear map $\lambda : \mathfrak{g} \to \operatorname{End}(\mathfrak{g})$. It follows $\lambda(e_0)e_i - \lambda(e_i)e_0 = e_{i+1}$ for $i \ge 1$ by induction. In fact, $\lambda(e_i)e_0 = -\frac{1}{i}e_{i+1}$. Define a map $\alpha : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{aff}(\mathfrak{g})$ by

$$\alpha(e_0) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda(e_0) & e_0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha(e_1) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda(e_1) & e_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha(e_{i+1}) = [\alpha(e_0), \alpha(e_i)],$$

where $e_0 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, $e_1 = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, e_{m-1} = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$. Again by induction (using $\lambda(e_0)e_{i-1} - \lambda(e_{i-1})e_0 = e_i$) we obtain

$$\alpha(e_i) = [\alpha(e_0), \alpha(e_{i-1})] = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda(e_i) & e_i \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence the image of \mathfrak{g} under α is indeed inside of $\mathfrak{aff}(\mathfrak{g})$. However, is α an affine representation of \mathfrak{g} ? Note that α is an affine representation iff λ is a linear representation. In this case, $\lambda(b) = a \cdot b$ defines an LSA-structure on \mathfrak{g} . In general, λ need not be a representation. In low dimensions however, it is very often a representation. The following result is true for filiform Lie algebras of dimension 10:

Proposition 7 Let \mathfrak{g}_{ψ} be a filiform nilpotent Lie algebra of dimension 10. Then the map α defined above is an affine representation of \mathfrak{g}_{ψ} iff

- (1) \mathfrak{g}_{ψ} is of class A3 and $10\alpha_7 = \alpha_1, 22\alpha_8 = 3\alpha_2, \alpha_9 = 3(385\alpha_1\alpha_3 + 23\alpha_2^2)/(8085\alpha_1)$
- (2) \mathfrak{g}_{ψ} is of class B1 with $\alpha_{11} \neq 0$ and $\alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \alpha_8 = 0$
- (3) \mathfrak{g}_{ψ} is of class B1 with $\alpha_{11} = 0$ and $\alpha_2(\alpha_2 8\alpha_8 + 6\alpha_{12}) = 0$
- (4) \mathfrak{g}_{ψ} is of class B2 and $2\alpha_8 = 5\alpha_2$ and $50\alpha_4 = \alpha_2(42\alpha_{12} 133\alpha_2)/\alpha_{13}$

Proof: From the matrix equation $[E_1, E_2] = \alpha_1 E_4 + \cdots + \alpha_6 E_9$ we obtain immediately $\alpha_1(10\alpha_7 - \alpha_1) = 0$, compute the entry at position (8,3). Likewise calculations prove the result.

5 Filiform Lie algebras in dimension 11

Let $L = L(10) = \langle e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_{10} \rangle$ be the standard graded filiform Lie algebra of dimension 11. Then every filiform nilpotent Lie algebra of dimension 11 is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}_{\psi} = (L)_{\psi}$ for some $\psi \in F_1 H^2(L, L)$. In terms of the basis of this cohomology space we may write

$$\psi = \alpha_1 \psi_{1,4} + \alpha_2 \psi_{1,5} + \ldots + \alpha_7 \psi_{1,10} + \alpha_8 \psi_{2,6} + \alpha_9 \psi_{2,7} \ldots + \alpha_{12} \psi_{2,10} + \alpha_{13} \psi_{3,8} + \ldots + \alpha_{15} \psi_{3,10} + \alpha_{16} \psi_{4,10}$$

The integrability of ψ is determined by four equations, see [APP]. We are interested here in the case $\alpha_1 \neq 0$. This includes the algebras $\mathfrak{a}(r, s, t)$ of [BEN] and [BGR], choose

$$\psi = \psi_{1,4} + (1-r)\psi_{2,6} - s\psi_{2,7} - t\psi_{2,8} + \beta_1\psi_{3,8} + \beta_2\psi_{3,9} + \beta_3\psi_{3,10} + \beta_4\psi_{4,10}$$

with certain β_i , see [APP]. The integrability conditions then imply $2\alpha_1 + \alpha_8 \neq 0$ and $\alpha_1^2 \neq \alpha_8^2$. We have the following result:

Proposition 8 Let \mathfrak{g}_{ψ} be a filiform nilpotent Lie algebra of dimension 11 satisfying $\alpha_1 \neq 0$. Then $\mu(\mathfrak{g}_{\psi}) \leq 12$ if and only if $\alpha_8 = 0$ or $10\alpha_8 = \alpha_1$ or $5\alpha_8^2 = 2\alpha_1^2$ or $4\alpha_1^2 - 4\alpha_1\alpha_8 + 3\alpha_8^2 = 0$.

Proof: For $\mathfrak{g}_{\psi} = \mathfrak{a}(r, s, t)$ we obtain exactly Theorem B of [BGR], substituting $\alpha_1 = 1$ and $\alpha_8 = 1 - r$ in the above equations. The proof is the same as for Theorem B. By avoiding polynomials in r, s, t the computations here are easier.

References

- [AMS] H. ABELS, G.A. MARGULIS AND G.A. SOIFER, On the Zariski closure of the linear part of a properly discontinuous group of affine transformations, *Preprint* (1995).
- [APP] APPENDIX (D. BURDE), Computer programs and a list of modules for Affine nilmanifolds (1995).
- [AUS] L. AUSLANDER, Simply transitive groups of affine motions, Amer. J. Math. 99 (1977), 809-826.
- [BEN] Y. BENOIST, Une nilvariété non affine, J. Diff. Geometry 41 (1995), 21-52.
- [BGR] D. BURDE AND F. GRUNEWALD, Modules for certain Lie algebras of maximal class, J. pure appl. Algebra, 99 (1995), 239-254.
- [BU1] D. BURDE, Left-invariant affine structures on reductive Lie groups, to appear in J. of Algebra (1996).
- [BU2] D. BURDE, Faithful Lie algebra modules of minimal degree, *Preprint* (1996).
- [FGH] D. FRIED, W. GOLDMAN AND M.W. HIRSCH, Affine manifolds with nilpotent holonomy, Comment. Math. Helv. 56 (1981), 487-523.
- [GH1] W. GOLDMAN AND M.W. HIRSCH, The radiance obstruction and parallel forms on affine manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 286 (1984), 629-649.
- [GH2] W. GOLDMAN AND M.W. HIRSCH, Affine manifolds and orbits of algebraic groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 295 (1986), 175-198.
- [HAK] Y. B. HAKIMJANOV, Variété des lois d'algèbres de Lie nilpotentes Geometriae Dedicata 40 (1991), 269-295.
- [KIM] H. KIM, Complete left-invariant affine structures on nilpotent Lie groups, J. Diff. Geometry 24 (1986), 373-394.
- [MI1] J. MILNOR, On the existence of a connection with curvature zero, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **32** (1958), 215-223.
- [MI2] J. MILNOR, On fundamental groups of complete affinely flat manifolds, Advances in Math. 25 (1977), 178-187.
- [SEG] D. SEGAL, Free left-symmetric algebras and an analogue of the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt Theorem, J. Algebra 164 (1994), 750-772.
- [SMI] J. SMILLIE, An obstruction to the existence of affine structures, *Invent. Math.* **64** (1981), 411-415.
- [VER] M. VERGNE, Cohomologie des algèbres de Lie nilpotentes. Application à l'étude de la variété des algèbres de Lie nilpotentes, Bull. Math. Soc. France 78 (1970), 81-116.